## Perillie, Michelle

From: Young, Mary

**Sent:** Friday, July 28, 2023 10:58 AM

To:Perillie, MichelleCc:Trianovich, AmandaSubject:Fwd: Barons South

Attachments: 2017 Barons South Conceptual Si.pdf; DRAFT Report.pdf; Concept B-color.pdf; Concept

A\_3-color.pdf; BaronsSouthHabitatSurvey.pdf; REMA-Habitat-VegetationReport2-

BaronsSouth6-2-21 wa.pdf

To be posted to the Subcommittee page of the website if you have not already received it.

Thanks, Mary

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Liccione, Sal <sliccione@westportct.gov>

Sent: Friday, July 28, 2023 10:53:59 AM

To: Church Lori < lchurch@westportct.gov>; Kail, Nancy < nkail@westportct.gov>; Schneeman, Kristin

<kschneeman@westportct.gov>; Dobin Danielle <ddobin@westportct.gov>; Young, Mary

<maryyoung@westportct.gov>; Fava, Jennifer <jfava@westportct.gov>; Giunta, Rick <rgiunta@westportct.gov>;

Mandell, Matthew < mmandell@westportct.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Barons South

## Get Outlook for iOS

From: sal liccione <salliccione2001@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, July 28, 2023 10:50:58 AM

To: Liccione, Sal <sliccione@westportct.gov>; Liccione Sal <salliccione2001@yahoo.com>

Subject: Fwd: Barons South

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Town of Westport's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jay Walshon <netmd50@gmail.com>
Date: July 27, 2023 at 6:44:33 PM EDT
To: sal liccione <salliccione2001@yahoo.com>

**Subject: Fwd: Barons South** 

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Jay Walshon < <a href="mailto:netmd50@gmail.com">netmd50@gmail.com</a>>

Date: Wed, Jul 19, 2023, 10:14 AM

Subject: Barons South

To: Matthew Mandell < matthew@savewestport.com >

Nice talking to you yesterday.

As promised, here are the most recent Baron's South materials that Town taxpayers paid for (I do not have the actual cost).

Note all the RECENT work (2016, 2017, 2021) that has been expended on Baron's South APART from the Golden Shadows structure... and if you bother to watch the archived meetings and read the comments noted in the minutes, there was huge public support to finally get the Baron's South property (excluding the GS building) rehabilited.

All this work and design still sits on a shelf gathering dust because Charlie H didn't get his way to rezone BS to allow active recreational activities there (using PB as the foil for his plan). At the time the PRC stated that failing to get the P&Z to rezone BS to pave the way for the Aris Concept A, they would revisit and work on the Aris design concept B that doesn't have the PB courts.

Of course, failing in their Concept A efforts, the PRC never did that - and I believe they never intended to

The RTM should be appalled at the fact that for over 10 years the PRC has neglected the BS property and permitted it to decay, and some say even become dangerous. Each passing year of neglect results in further environmental deterioration. Just read the extensive detailed survey reports that were performed to see what is involved and at stake.

The \$500,000 approved by the RTM for Riverside Park, while knowingly and intentionally ignoring Baron's South, was a travesty. When I challenged Charlie H to explain why that taxpayer money was being spent on Riverside while next to nothing is being spent on Baron's South which IS already the PRC's responsibility to maintain and renovate, AND detailed draft design plans were already in hand, AND taxpayer funds were already spent to get this renovation begun, he refused to answer why.

Starting a project, getting public involvement, investing hours and hours of people's time, expending resources and taxpayer funds, to create documents and plans that languish and do not go anywhere is a public betrayal that must be addressed by our resident's representatives - the RTMers are our only voice.

BTW, after emailing David Floyd yesterday asking for confirmation that he received my request to put BS back onto the PRC agenda, I just received a reply that he did receive it and they were considering it in light of all the other things on their plate.

Exactly what I expected and predicted when they prioritized Riverside Park and bypassed the Baron's South property thereby knowingly & intentionally subjecting that far far more important and valuable property to years (dare I say decades) more deterioration.

BTW the BS property is literally the Senior Center's back yard...so just imagine the potential for our seniors that is being squandered, the potential educational value it has for our children, and by its very location the potential intergenerational mingling it offers.

The public wants the Baron's South property renovated.	It has paid for initiating this rehabilitation.	But
the PRC continues to ignore what the people want.		
What a joke.		

Talk later

Dr J