RESOLUTIONS

(1)

<u>RESOLVED:</u> That upon the request of the Westport Housing Authority the amendment to the definition of "owner" in Section 59-194 of the Code of Ordinances regarding Tax Abatement for Low or Moderate Income Housing is hereby approved. (First reading. Full text is as follows.)

TAX ABATEMENT FOR LOW-OR MODERATE-INCOME HOUSING

Sec. 54-194. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Low- or moderate-income housing means housing, the construction or rehabilitation of which is aided or assisted in any way by any federal or State statute, which housing is subject to regulation or supervision of rents, charges or sales prices and methods of operation by a governmental agency under a regulatory agreement or other instrument which restricts occupancy of such housing to persons or families whose incomes do not exceed prescribed limits, and may be deemed to include the property on which such housing is situated.

Owner means a nonprofit corporation incorporated pursuant to C.G.S. Ch. 602 (C.G.S. § 33-1000 et seq.), with federal recognition under I.R.C. Section 501(c)(3), (ii) the Housing Authority of the Town of Westport, or (iii) the entity holding record title to real property that is owned, managed or otherwise controlled by the Housing Authority of the Town of Westport, provided that, in each case, such entity has as one of its purposes the construction, rehabilitation, ownership or operation of housing and which has executed, or will execute, a regulatory agreement or other instrument with a governmental agency which limits occupancy of the low- or moderate-income housing owned or to be owned by such corporation to persons or families whose incomes do not exceed prescribed limits.

(Code 1981, § 134-30)

(2)

<u>RESOLVED:</u> That the September 19, 2013 negative 8-24 report by the Planning & Zoning Commission, regarding the relocation of the Kemper-Gunn House from 35 Church Lane to 35 Elm Street in the Baldwin parking lot, is hereby reversed.

(3)

<u>RESOLVED</u>: That upon the recommendation of the Board of Finance and a request by the First Selectman, the sum of \$139,000 to Miscellaneous Account (Downtown 2020) to contract with the RBA Group of Connecticut LLC for the Downtown Master Plan for Development and Implementation is hereby appropriated.



BACK UP MATERIAL

5 Canal Street • Westport, CT 06880 • (203) 227-4672 • (203) 227-9553 Fax • www.westportha.org

October 4, 2013

Gordon Joseloff
First Selectman
Town of Westport
110 Myrtle Avenue, Room 310
Westport CT 06880

OCT 0 7 2013
TOWN OF WESTPORT

RE: Request of the Westport Housing Authority regarding an amendment to Ordinance 59-194 be added to the Special Meeting Agenda of the RTM for the October 22, 2013 meeting

Dear First Selectman Joseloff:

The Westport Housing Authority respectfully requests your assistance to add our proposed amendment to the Town's Ordinance Section 54-194 regarding Tax Abatement for Low or Moderate Income Housing to the Agenda for the RTM meeting on October 22, 2013. I have attached the proposed amendment.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of our request. I may be reached at 203-214-0810 should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Carol J Martin
Executive Director

cc: G. Kelly, Assistant Town Attorney

P. Friia, Assessor

D. Newberg, Chairman, Housing Authority Board of Commissioners

Approved for submission to the Representative Town Meeting (10/22/13)







Gordon F. Joseloff

TAX ABATEMENT FOR LOW OR MODERATE INCOME HOUSING

Sec. 54-194. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Low- or moderate-income housing means housing, the construction or rehabilitation of which is aided or assisted in any way by any federal or State statute, which housing is subject to regulation or supervision of rents, charges or sales prices and methods of operation by a governmental agency under a regulatory agreement or other instrument which restricts occupancy of such housing to persons or families whose incomes do not exceed prescribed limits, and may be deemed to include the property on which such housing is situated.

Owner means (i) a nonprofit corporation incorporated pursuant to C.G.S. Ch. 602 (C.G.S. § 33-1000 et seq.), with federal recognition under I.R.C. Section 501(c)(3), (ii) the Housing Authority of the Town of Westport, or (iii) the entity holding record title to real property that is owned, managed or otherwise controlled by the Housing Authority of the Town of Westport; provided that, in each case, such entity has as one of its purposes the construction, rehabilitation, ownership or operation of housing and which has executed, or will execute, a regulatory agreement or other instrument with a governmental agency which limits occupancy of the low-or moderate-income housing owned or to be owned by such corporation to persons or families whose incomes do not exceed prescribed limits.

(Code 1981, § 134-30)



BACK UP MATERIAL RTM ITEM #

September 27, 2013

Eileen Lavigne Flug, Moderator Representative Town Meeting 5 Gault Ave Westport, CT 06880

RE: Agenda Item Request pursuant to CGS §8-24 and Town Charter §C10-4

Dear Ms. Flug:

This morning, Morley Boyd of 6 Violet Ln, lead petitioner, delivered a petition request, from at least 20 electors of the Town of Westport, for the RTM to place an item on an upcoming Representative Town Meeting agenda, pursuant to CGS Section 8-24 and Town Charter Section C10-4, involving a request to reverse the September 19, 2013 negative §8-24 report by the Planning & Zoning Commission and approve the relocation of the Gunn House from 35 Church Lane to 35 Elm Street in the Baldwin parking lot. Copies of the petition pages are enclosed.

At least 20 electors' names have been verified for acceptance of this petitioned request. Pursuant to Section C10-4 of the Town Charter, a review by the RTM of such action by the Planning and Zoning Commission *shall be held within 30 days* after delivery of such request to the Moderator or the Town Clerk.

Sincerely,

Patricia H. Strauss

Patricia H Strauss

Town Clerk

Cc: Gordon F. Joseloff, First Selectman Ira Bloom, Town Attorney Gail Kelly, Assistant Town Attorney Laurence Bradley, Planning & Zoning Director September 27, 2013

Eileen Flug, Moderator Representative Town Meeting Town of Westport 110 Myrtle Avenue Westport, CT 06880

Re:

§8-24 Request by the First Selectman for a report from the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding the relocation of the Gunn House from 35 Church Lane to 35 Elm Street in the Baldwin parking lot, PID #10143000.

Dear Eileen,

As you know, the Westport Planning and Zoning Commission (P&Z) issued a negative 8-24 report regarding the above captioned matter on September 19, 2013. This decision was legally noticed in the Westport News on September 27, 2013.

After the proposal at issue received overwhelming public support at the P&Z hearing on September 12th, I was quite surprised to read the subsequent decision. As the Commission's stated reasons for the negative report are plainly unburdened by the facts surrounding the matter, I feel that the residents of the Town of Westport have not been well served in this instance.

Consequently, pursuant to CGS §8-24, as well as §C5-6C and §C10-4 of the Town Charter, attached please find a petition signed by at least 20 electors of the Town of Westport requesting that the Westport Representative Town Meeting REVERSE P&Z's September 19, 2013 negative 8-24 report and APPROVE the relocation of the Gunn House from 35 Church Lane to 35 Elm Street in the Baldwin parking lot, PID #10143000.

Sincerely yours,

Morley Boyd 6 Violet Lane

Westport, CT 06880

Encs.

203 226 5618 boyd, cthh@gmail.com

<u>Name</u> (please print clearly)	Address (please print clearly) <u>Signature</u>
VI MORIEY BOYD	CO VIOLET LANE	Worling Borne
12 JOYCE B. JOINER	1	E Jorge & Jami
V3 P. W. WRIEDT-BO	DYD 6 VIOLET LA	NE TO
14 HSA POTURGIEL	9 VIOLET LAN	E Sisa Pidagico
1/2 Martha Constable	37 EVERGREEN	AVE MARTINA A Constale
LJohn Bysice McGui	rk 39 Evergreen AV	e (InBure HJuich
Vy Wenny Crowther	38 EMAIN ST., U	/ //
8 TERI GUAR	SE G. MAINST	In Duran
Vo Vanet Brown	2 Violet Lane	J. Brian
REUS 10 Courtney / Cinno	on 10 Violet Lane	C Leman
VICENA GEREINY	31 Tamarac	rd. Celia Conte
VIElizaben Go	Ting 31 Tama	rec 123. butter.
13 Cypthia Walla	el 36 Everyora	Trive Contina woll
SIA MARK YUR	/	
15 WENDY VAN WIE	180 Cross Hwy	The second
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17		
18.		Patricia H. Strauss Town Clerk of the Town of Westport,
19.	<u>27,</u> wh	tify that this petition page was filed with me September 2013 and that it contains \(\frac{14}{9} \) signed names of electors, ose names appear on the last completed registry list of
20.		Patien HAttauss
	C:~	ned (Town Clerk)

298

\underline{Name} (please print clearly) \underline{A}	ddress (please print clearly)	Signature	
SHELEN MARITN BLOC	K 67 Partnick Road	Dong	et ox
12 KAREN C. MYERS	66 Partrick Road	1 Kareng	1 Myces
Las Sun Penny 1	Parlmon 16 Haplewood a	re. Contre	
1/4 Robert Miniaurci	16 Maxlewood fre	RAIN	<u></u>
√4 Robert Miniaucci √5 Sally Luce	20 Miniton Rd.	Ally Jue	<u>e</u>
& Diana W X lows	9 Hilly Freld ha	ME Dian	a W KlEROX
& George Xleros	s 9 Holly Field ha	ine M	1/10
& Constance Green	_ 1	1/1/ 1/1/	Le Brien
Vo Ellen van Dosser			
V10 Amy Ancel	,	/\	med
VII Elizabeth Lill	ien 5 Northside Lane		£ (2).
12 Mary Gloser	2 Hals Mile Common	Mary of	biser.
V12 Many Gloser V13 Alism Enlen	18 Sach Carple	17150	
14.	<i>,</i>		, manage
15.		2013 S	
16.		\$£P 2	돌 <u> </u>
17			1955 1967
18		12:0	
I, Patricia H. Strau certify that this pe	iss Town Clerk of the Town of Westport, tition page was filed with me September t contains signed names of electors,		
whose names app	ear on the last completed registry list of		
Signed (Town Cler	i A Strouss		

Petition Request

Name (please print clearly)	Address (please print clearly)	<u>Signat</u>	ure
VI Richard Harriegt	on 9 Guey STone Fam Laux	Kehl	& Hant
	STON 9 GREYSTONE FARM	2n. 90	a Harrin
13 Nothalia Garrilo	vic 4 Deepwood lane	Wetha	lie Mise
14 PAT KMBALL	8 HIGHWOOD LANE	J.	Mulf
& Kim Mannie	rg 3 Hockanum Rd	Xu	Mar
16 21len Wentwite	1 8HHard Rd	Sllen	Alberter
1 Regina Mosters	on 8 Highland Rd	Pontra	tim
8	O	,	
9			
10			
11)	
12.			P 27 E6
13			U HA
14			2 8
15			
16			
17.			
18	I, Patricia H. Strauss Town Clerk of the Town of Westport, certify that this petition page was filed with me September 27. 2013 and that it contains signed names of electors,		
19.	whose names appear on the last completed registry list of this town.	*****	
20	Patricia H Stranss Signed (Town Clerk)		

Name (please print clearly) Address (please print clearly)	Signature
1 SELMA MIRIAM 29 HIAWATHA LAWE EXT	Selva Miran
Maney Sherter 22 Spriterien Aug	* MSherter
3 NANCY AXTHERM 33 MINUTE MAN HU	NanAHRe
4 ANDris Kurins 12 Sylvan ROADN	mæstlen
5 SHARON MURINS 4	Sharow Kuriks
6 Keth Steri 36 Minule Mac	The
7 Wia Boleg 69 Kings Hary N.	Joseph Land
2 - Wid Boleeg 69 Kings Hary N. 8 Sury Hooper 143 cross Hary War	Min
g Leglen Bollone 21 Davenpart pue	In A.
10 CAROLANNE CURRY 29 HIAWSTELLA LANGESKT	The les
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	2013
15.	SEP 2
16.	
17.	2 2000
18	
19.	
20.	

578

Petition Request

Name (please print clearly)	Address (please print clearly)	<u>Signature</u>
1 CAROL BURKINTON	9 EVERGREEN AVENUE, WAL	facil Suffer.
2	<u> </u>	
3		
4		
5		20 E
6		10 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E
7		27 178
8		TAR DEED
9		2000
10		
11		
12		
13.		
14		
15.		
16		
17.		
18.		
19		
20		

Name (please print clearly)	Address (please print clearly)	<u>Signature</u>
LANRENCE KILL	IAN, 16 GERHAMRY	WE. La Kulla
2 SHARMISHTHA GREEN	35 Gorham Ave	Styllandrun
3 James Wasa	3 Foebon ANE	
4 ED PERRAULT	20 GERHAN AUE	ElVern
5 LAURIE GOLDBERGY	20 Gorham Ale	Laurie Steller
a lannifer Wyman	27 Darbrook Rd	tw
2 Martin Wage	260RHAMAUE!	I Leilver
8 CMChenn	2 Aurham A O	anden
Juliv #Bob 7a	therey 6Wild Ros	se Julie Tether les
10 SUSan Mearth	1290 Mais Stor	D.M. Canto
11 ROBERT FATHERY	Ey 6 Wild Rose Rd	RG Fatherlan
12		V
13.		
14.		SEP MAG
15		2 <u>2 </u>
16.		2
17.		
18.		
19.		
20		

Name (please print clearly) Address (please print clearly) 1 Frederall Gerteiny 31 Tancrack Address 1 Trederall Gerteiny 31 Tancrack Address
2 Nicole Gerber 38 Tamarac Rd XM Seel
3 John Corrier 20 Jamping RD
4 En Kravýmann 5 Laveline P. Kom
5 Juloune 9 line Pine Const
6 Laura Nelson 7 Ione Pine & WX
7 James Fremers 7 Lane Pine Day 1
& Chandra Folson 50 King Hwy I den And
9. SUSAN LE DOUNE Rd. Susand Steller
10 1/ BUANT (STOPPER REMY -)
11 ROBERT KARMEN 23 Evergreen Pkwy Roberd Co
12 VEFF WESTUE 27 SURKENSKUY SI-TUIL
14/
13 MURIEL DURWER HOTAMARACRO GOVERNO OUTROS
14 PATRICIA WE 27 TAMARACIED PILOLES
15 Barbara Stephens 20 Lone Pine La. Glahate Stype
16 WILL VAIL ZI LOW PINE WISDONT
17 JELEN SWALINA 17 LONE GINELN WESTONET, C
18 Ill Cenine 9 Love Time Wespear.
19 Allin Babcoel 12 Com Phi, westport
20 May Baum 95 N, Compo R5 Waysa
udmitted by: Elizabeth Gorteiny, Save Westoni Now

Name (please print clearly)	Address (please print clearly)	<u> Şignature</u>
1 Linda Hudson	18 Kings Hung South	Hudson
	38 TURKEyHILL S.	Char Ande
3 Muni Rum	USAM - 23 HILLS / A	/!
4 KM Brathlean M.	Ween bone Rd	Kill Bariant
5 Anne Levine	Rayfield Rd.	Alwree
6 Jun Lew Self	and 8 Woodside Av	A Lurie e Brur En Delala
2 Eynt Doll	el 8 woodrock	Elizh Betti Delo
& GERI ZATLOF	F 90 Main St.	The Entry
9 avid Connerly	15 Garham Ave	
10 Susan Ritter 18	8 Catamount Rd.	St Polley
11 Jacquarue Surar	10 SALEM LACE	Asque and Ell
12 BRIAN SUTER	LO SALEM LAINE	Must have
13.		
14		
15		
16.		38
17.		2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
18		D 888
19.		2 8
20		

WESTPORT NEWS, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2013

LEGAL NOTICES

LEGAL NOTICE OF DECISION

Notice is hereby given that at a meeting held on September 19, 2013, the Westport Planning and Zoning Commission took the following action:

1.DENIED: 24 Ludlow Road, Appl. #12-040 by Lawrence P. Weisman/Eric Bernheim for property owned by Beit Chaverim Synagogue for a CAM Special Permit and Site Plan approval for construction of a new synagogue in a Res AA zone, PID #C09060000.

2.NEGATIVE REPORT: 35 Elm Street, §8-24 Request by the First Selectman for a report from the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding the relocation of the Gunn house from 35 Church Lane to 35 Elm Street in the Baldwin parking lot, in a Res A zone, PID #C10143000.

3.GRANTED: 1032 Post Road East, Appl. #13-020 by Rick Hoag for property owned by 1032 Post Road East, LLC for a Special Permit and Site Plan approval for renovation of existing structure and new parking layout in a HSD zone, PID #F09054000.

4.APPROVED: 1026 Post Road East, Appl. #13-030 by Rick Hoag for property owned by DeMattio Associates, LLC for a Site Plan approval for building alteration and change of use from retail to a car dealership and Certificate of Location for an automobile dealership pursuant to CT Statute 14-54, in a HSD zone, PID #F09055000.

5.APPROVED: 786 Post Road East, Appl. #13-033 by CPCI, LLC c/o HSSK for property owned by CPCI, LLC for a Site Plan approval for a gasoline station, convenience store, façade alteration, site work, signage and new canopy in a GBD zone, PID #E09037000

The above items were granted/approved/denied with conditions, which are on file with the Planning and Zoning Office in Town Hall at 110 Myrtle Avenue.

Dated at Westport, Connecticut this 27th day of September, 2013 Catherine Walsh, Chairman, Planning and Zoning Commission

PLEASE REPLY TO

WESTPORT OFFICE

WWW.BMDLAW.COM

MICHAEL P. BURDO,

IODIE L. DRISCOLL-

RYAN P. DRISCOLL-

ALISON R. EGELSON

JEFFREY P. MOGAN

MEGAN A. SMITH

ALSO ADMITTED IN CA *ALSO ADMITTED IN MA
*ALSO ADMITTED IN MN
*ALSO ADMITTED IN NJ
| ALSO ADMITTED IN NJ
*ALSO ADMITTED IN NA

JUSTIN STANKO JOSHUA A. WEINSHANK.

GAIL L KELLY

RICHARD C. BUTURLA

CAROLYN MAZANEC DUGAS

MICHELLE DEVLIN LONG

CARLETHA P. TEXIDOR.

ATTORNEYS & COURSELORS AT LAW

ROBERT L BERCHEM MARSHA BELMAN MOSES MICHAEL P. DEVLIN STEPHEN W. STUDERS RICHARD I. BUTURLA FLOYD J. DUGAS ROLAN IONI YOUNG SMITH JACOB P. BRYNICZKA IRA W. BLOOM JONATHAN D. BERCHEM® MICHELLE C. LAUBIN MARIO F. COPPOLA WARREN L. HOLCOMB MARK J. KOVACK BRYAN L. LeCLERC BRIAN A. LEMA DOUGLAS E. LOMONTE BRIAN W. SMITH

75 BROAD STREET MILFORD, CT 06460 TELEPHONE (203) 783-1200 FACSIMILE (203) 878-2235

1221 POST ROAD EAST WESTPORT, CT 06880 TELEPHONE (203) 227-9545 FACSIMILE (203) 226-1641

MEMORANDUM

SHELBY L. WILSON To: OF COUNSEL

LISA GRASSO EGAN JOHN W. HOGAN, JR. From: Members, RTM

Gail Kelly, Assistant Town Attorney Ira W. Bloom, Town Attorney

Date:

September 30, 2013

Subject:

RTM Review pursuant to Connecticut General Statute Section §8-24

The RTM has been asked to review the negative report regarding the Gunn House from the Planning & Zoning Commission ("P&Z") pursuant to Section 8-24 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The statutory provision allows the legislative body of the town to review such a decision upon a negative report from the P&Z. Sections C5-1(F) and

C10-4 of the Town Charter also authorize the RTM to review a negative 8-24 report.

The last time the RTM reviewed a Section 8-24 negative report was in 2005 with regard to a sewer extension. Attached are comments our office made at that time to the RTM. We would call these comments to your attention since they are applicable today. The RTM's focus should be whether the Gunn House proposal is consistent with the 2007 Plan of Conservation and Development ("POCD"). This is the underlying standard for a Section 8-24 review by the P&Z.

In 2005, we suggested that you review the reasons cited in the negative report submitted by the P&Z on this issue. We would suggest you do the same this time. It is our opinion however, that the RTM can make its own independent evaluation of this issue at this time. You are not limited to simply reviewing the P&Z decision, as long as your analysis focuses on the underlying standard—is the proposal consistent with the POCD.

IWB/kaa

2005 minutes

Attorney Ira Bloom to give a brief remark about the 8-24.

Town Attorney Ira Bloom:

As Mr. Bradley said, this is a section of the Connecticut General Statutes. This is now the second time in recent months that you have had the chance to review actions of the Planning and Zoning Commission. The starting point here again is a section of the charter, C10-4. Unlike the last time we did this, this is now tied in directly to a section of the state laws which, as Mr. Bradley just said to you, govern municipal improvements. Section 8-24 of the statutes normally refers to municipal improvements, municipal projects when the town purchases some property or leases property or sells property but it also includes extension of public utilities such as sewer lines so that's why these kinds of applications go to the Planning and Zoning Commission. The statute itself as well as the charter says that the legislative body, the RTM, can review this and reverse it by a 2/3 vote. That's why this is again before you. The question is what kind of review does the Planning and Zoning Commission undertake here and what kind of review should you be undertaking under the law. There are cases that talk about a §8-24 review. Some of them say it is a conceptual review. Some say it's more of an advisory review. The standards for you to consider #1 and for the Planning and Zoning Commission to consider are the Town Plan. What does the Town Plan say about growth? What does it say about sewers? What do the zoning regulations say about growth, sewers, lot size, things of that nature? It is kind of an overview of the Town Plan of the regulations of the town's general zoning laws and sewer policies. That's what the 8-24 review is intended to be by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Consequently, that is what your review should also be. I said it is advisory, not necessarily final, and that is because, if either the P&Z or, in this case, the RTM overriding the P&Z ,approve this or issue a positive report, it is not done. It still goes to the Water Pollution Control Authority for a more detailed review so there is a second step even if you should pass it. So what you and the P&Z do is kind of an overview, conceptual analysis of these larger plans. If you should pass it, it would go on to WPCA for a more detailed review and a more specific review. That's what would happen if you were to reverse the P&Z by a 2/3 vote. What questions should you ask yourself? In my opinion, your starting point should be the negative report of the P&Z that they issued on Sept. 14, 2005. They delineate five reasons for their report. It seems to me that what you should ask yourself is whether they properly considered the Town Plan. Did they properly consider the town's policies for sewers? Did they properly consider the town's zoning regulations? Did they identify proper policy issues in rendering their negative report? That kind of analysis is what you should be doing as part of this 8-24 review.

Mr. Bradley:

I also just wanted to point out that the <u>8-24 review is actually a planning function</u>. We get accused of doing too much zoning and not planning. This is one of the things where we, under the statutes and under the way the commission operates, the extension of public utilities is a planning function and that duty is given to the Planning and Zoning Commission.



Westport Historic District Commission

Town Hall

Westport, Conn. 06880

TO:

Members of the Westport Representative Town Meeting

BACK UP MATERIAL RTM ITEM #_____

FROM:

Francis Henkels, Chairman, Historic District Commission

DATE:

October 11, 2013

RE:

Relocation of Kemper-Gunn House from 35 Church Lane to Elm Street.

The Historic District Commission, at its monthly meeting October 8, 2013 voted unanimously to recommend that the Representative Town Meeting overturn the negative 8-24 report issued by the Planning and Zoning Commission on October 3, 2013 regarding the proposed relocation of the Kemper-Gunn House.

In its official advisory role to the town on issues of historic preservation, the HDC has consistently advocated for saving the 1885 Queen Anne style house located at 35 Church Lane in the face of development pressure from the Bedford Square project. The Commission considers this to be a valuable historic asset to the town, a notable example of the residential architecture of this period, which represents an important stage in the physical and cultural evolution of Westport. The house is currently listed on the State Register of Historic Places and is listed on the town Historic Resources Inventory.

Westport has few remaining good examples of Queen Anne houses, dating from the latter half of the nineteenth century, in as prominent a location as the Kemper-Gunn house, currently located close to the town center at 35 Church Lane. This house embodies qualities representative of that period of time in Westport's history: growing prosperity, civic pride, interest in living "in town", and a sense of community. It has graced that portion of Church Lane for almost 130 years, easing the visual transition from the more residential character of the neighborhood to the east to the more densely developed commercial town center.

Bedford Square Associates has generously offered to relocate the structure, at their expense, to town property and to donate it to the town. We have received a viable proposal from a private developer who would renovate the building at their cost and pay the town rent on the land. The process would produce a steady cash flow to the town in the form of lease income and real estate taxes while requiring minimal or no capital costs to the town.

We believe the carefully designed re-siting of the Kemper-Gunn house to Elm Street will enhance the streetscape, which has historically been residential in character. As conceived it will afford the town the flexibility to retain most of the parking currently provided or to integrate the relocated house into a possible future parking structure that might be developed there. In the process we will have saved an important asset to Westport's historic character. With this vote, the HDC is reinforcing its support for this preservation effort and believe it is now time for the town to take the next step and for the RTM to override the Planning and Zoning Commission's negative 8-24 report to move this project forward

cc: Gordon F. Joseloff, First Selectman

To: Matthew Mandell, RTM District One Representative and Chair of RTM P&Z Committee From: Don Bergmann, RTM District One Representative and member of RTM P&Z Committee Re: Kemper-Gunn Relocation 2007 Town Plan of Conservation and Development ("Town Plan")

October 14, 2013

As requested, the following reflects my review of the Town Plan as the Plan relates to the decision of the RTM to issue a favorable 8-24 Report on the request of the First Selectman to relocate the Kemper-Gunn House to Elm Street. Proceeding sequentially, I have quoted or referenced all language in the Town Plan which I believed could be viewed as having relevance. I have also included my judgment as to whether or not the quoted language is or is not consistent with a favorable 8-24 Report. Those judgments are set forth at the end of the language quoted. In several instances I have not made a judgment. Instances of no judgment include all references in the Town Plan to residential neighborhoods since I have concluded that the Baldwin Parking lot is not a residential neighborhood, even though it is zoned Residence A. I have underlined "residential neighborhood" throughout to highlight that point.

JUDGMENT AS TO 8-24 Consistent with Town Plan "YES" Inconsistent with Town Plan "NO"

YES

ALL REFERENCES ARE TO THE TOWN PLAN

Page (i)
"its leaders and citizens must undertake careful planning"

[Plan]" is intended to be flexible"

"how to make Westport a better place"

Page iii - Basic themes/principles of Plan
"It is recognized that strict separation of uses is still important in single-family residential areas."

"Protect and Manage Residential Neighborhoods"

"Maintain Distinctive Centers With A Strong Sense Of Place"

"Address Community Facility Needs"

Page v — Photograph of an "Historic Home"

Page vi — "Overall Philosophy Of This Plan"

"conservation of existing natural and built resources is valued more in Westport than the promotion of new or expanded commercial or residential development"

"4. Restrict commercial development to existing commercial zones."

CHAPTER TWO, "CONDITIONS, TRENDS AND ISSUES"

"6. Encourage the protection of historic properties."

Page 2-7

"PREFACE"

Results of inputs from attendees at initial Town Plan Planning Meeting:

As to Town commercial centers, number of Residents out of 42 respondents who were "sorry about"

"More chain stores 28" YES
"Downtown parking 4" NO

CHAPTER THREE, "PRESERVE CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS"	
Page 3-1 – One theme common to all the last five Town plans is "to protect the natural environment	
and preserve the overall character of the community."	YES
CHAPTER FOUR," PRESERVE OPEN SPACE AND NATURAL BEAUTY"	
Page 4-1 – "Westport residents value the open spaces, historic resources, and other elements that	
add to the overall beauty of the community and want to continue to protect them." "GOALS	YES
Preserve historic structures and other significant amenities in order to retain Westport	
history over time."	YES
"Assure that Westport, as it changes, retains a sense of community, beauty and	
•	YES
Pages 4-8 and 4-9	
These two pages are captioned "PROTECTING HISTORIC RESOURCES" and are solely devoted to addressing that goal. On page 4-8, it states,	
"Westport is committed to preserving its unique historic character and beauty. In so	
doing it fosters community pride, conserves the personality and architecture of its historic	
residential neighborhoods and commercial areas, enables citizens and visitors to enjoy and	
learn about local history, and provides a framework for making appropriate preservation	
	YES
"Westport must protect its inventory of significant historic properties from destruction	
or architectural degradation by employing the full range of methods available to protect	
	YES
Page 4-9 —	
" - suggest relevant zoning regulations and tax incentives to preserve historic properties	
in commercial and residential zones."	
Page 4-9 –	
This page is captioned "PROTECT HISTORIC RESOURCES and lists 14 action items to achieve	
that goal. These action items reflect the text on pages 4-8 and 4-9.	YES
CHAPTER FIVE, "PROTECT AND MANAGE RESIDENTIAL <u>NEIGHBORHOODS"</u>	
This Chapter is solely devoted to that goal.	
Page 5-1 –	
"It is a central goal of this Plan and of prior plans to maintain the low density single family	
residential character of Westport's <u>neighborhoods</u> . The overall character and ambiance	
of <u>existing neighborhoods</u> is responsible for the recognized quality of life in Westport."	
"GOAL"	

 ${\it Maintain\ We stport's\ predominantly\ single\ family\ residential\ focus\ and\ small\ town\ feeling.''} \\ {\it Page\ 5-2-}$

This page is captioned PROTECT RESIDENTIAL <u>NEIGHBORHOODS</u> and lists six bullet items to implement that fundamental philosophy of the Plan, including

"Residential <u>neighborhoods</u> will continue to be protected from the intrusion of commercial activities."

"Boundaries between residential <u>neighborhoods</u> and non-residential zoning districts shall remain clear."

"Transitions from residential <u>neighborhoods</u> to non-residential zoning districts should be <u>logical</u> and have appropriate buffering, as necessary."

Page 5-6

This page is captioned STRATEGIES and lists six action items to Protect Residential Neighborhoods. These action items reflect the text on page 5-2. but include a new item, "3. Protect Westport's diverse architectural styles."

CHAPTER SEVEN, "MAINTAIN DISTINCTIVE CENTERS WITH A STRONG SENSE OF PLACE" Page 7-1 -	
"this Plan recommends a defined focus on building and site design. At the same time,	
the Plan recommends that changes and improvements within commercial zones	
minimize negative influences on neighboring residential quality of life. The Plan	YES
recommends that when considering development proposals, commercial land use areas	
should be maintained within their existing zoning limits without extending into	
residential areas."	NO
"GOAL"	
"Improve the appearance and functioning of all commercial areas and minimize	
negative influences on neighboring residential quality of life."	YES
Pages 7-2 to 7-8 –	
The section is captioned "MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE WESTPORT CENTER" and includes the	
following statements:	
Pages 7-3, 7- 4 and 7-	
5, MAJOR ISSUES regarding the downtown area:	
"Types of Establishments – Will the current and future needs of the community be	
served within what is considered the downtown area?	YES
"Parking too much too little? Is it in the right places?"	NO
"Aesthetics – Is the area visually appealing? Relaxing? Enjoyable?"	YES
Establishments (p. 7-3, 4) "Many residents lament the loss of a downtown of the	
past which had numerous smaller, family-owned businesses and a broader variety	
of retail establishments In the downtown area."	YES
A telephone survey indicated that (i) 70% of the respondents said Westport had	
"too few locally owned retail stores" and (ii) 65% said Westport had "too many	
National retail chain stores."	YES
"The Plan recommends that the Town of Westport review its tax system with an	
eye toward providing special incentives for non-chain businesses in the	
downtown area and consider limiting the maximum size of individual	
businesses."	YES
Parking (p. 7-4) – "64% of telephone survey respondents felt that the Town should provide	
for more parking in the downtown area. The Plan strongly recommends that some	
parking in the downtown area be reconfigured."	NO
Traffic (p. 7-5)— "Integral to discussing parking is a need to consider traffic, both existing	
and any incremental volume added by additional parking or commercial	
development."	
Page 7-6 —	
"Encourage the creation and execution of an overall, comprehensive conceptual	
design and plan that integrates and coordinates the extended physical elements already in the Center."	
Retain much of the scale of the current architecture" YES Page 7-8 – "It is important that	
1 450 / O TE IS A INPOSED TO THE COURT OF TH	

YES

the distinctive character, landscape and historic value of the downtown area be

protected and preserved,

the conversion, conservation and preservation of existing buildings and sites be	
encouraged in a manner that maintains the historic or distinctive character of	
the district, and	YES
any new development occurs in a way that protects and enhances the	
character of the downtown area."	YES
Page 7-10 -	
"3. Conduct a major study of traffic/parking for the entire downtown area	
(including private parking lots) and, as part of the study, consider the following	
options or alternatives:	
·	
a.	NO
b. 'decked parking'	NO
C.	
d. "	
"The Plan recognizes and supports redevelopment of existing commercial	
Properties in Westport Center when such redevelopment is designed to be	
In keeping with its distinctive character."	YES
CHAPTER EIGHT, "WESTPORT FUTURE PROVIDE A VARIETY OF TRANSPORTATION CHOICES"	
Page 8-4 –	
"ADDRESS PUBLIC PARKING NEEDS"	
"The telephone survey found that 64% of survey respondents felt that the Town	
should provide for more parking in the downtown area."	NO
"Managing parking in the downtown area is a delicate balancing act."	
"Plan seeks to discourage the further transformation of the downtown	
area into a shopping mall populated by "formula" chain stores."	YES
	163
Page 8-10 —	
"2. Find ways to reconfigure the parking supply to meet current needs and	
to enable additional recreation access to the River, but control the expansion	
so it does not further encourage the transformation of the downtown area	
into a shopping mall."	
CHAPTER NINE, "WESTPORT FUTURE ADDRESS COMMUNITY FACILITY NEEDS"	
Page 9-2 —	
"Land suitable for municipal use is in limited supply and expensive. The Plan	
recommends that Westport not dispose of existing land or buildings unless	
absolutely necessary."	NO
absolutely measure,	



RBA Group of Connecticut, LLC

BACK UP MATERIAL

September 19, 2013

Mr. Gordon F. Joseloff First Selectman Town Hall 110 Myrtle Avenue Westport, CT 06880

Re: RFP 13-710 - Master Plan for Development and Implementation for Downtown Westport

Dear Mr. Joseloff

The RBA Group is pleased to submit for your review and concurrence the following revisions to the scope of work as detailed in our original proposal of March 13, 2013 to the Downtown 2020 Committee for the above referenced project. As agreed upon with the Planning and Zoning Commission RBA will undertake the entire scope of services work as detailed in the aforementioned original scope. With the following understandings:

RBA shall prioritize as Task 1 of the study the Traffic Analysis component of the work as Identified under Task 5 "Transportation Study" and that work shall be broken out as 3 phases of work.

<u>Phase 1:</u> As outlined in the original scope of services RBA will develop a baseline traffic model of existing vehicular counts for the "Downtown Core Study Area". That original scope of work identified 7 intersections for inclusion in this phase including the intersections of Route 1 @ Route 33, @ Parker Harding Plaza, @ Main Street, @ Imperial Avenue and @ N/S Compo Road and Route 33 @ Route 57 and Main Street/@ Avery Place.

As agreed upon with the Planning and Zoning Commission this baseline study component will now be expanded to Include all signalized intersections along Route 1 from the Norwalk/Westport border to the Fairfield/Westport border including intersections along Routes 33 and 136. We assume up to 17 additional signalized intersections. The expanded scope is considered extra services and shall be included in the revised fee as detailed later in the letter

<u>Phase 2</u>: As outlined in the original scope of work Phase 2 of the traffic analysis will allow for the development of a "future projection scenario" that evaluates the impacts of today' known impending developments such as:

- Bedford Square,
- Save the Children
- National Hall,
- Ludlowe Street
- Relocation of the Westport Y
- Save the Children
- Mediplex

We propose to utilize available traffic impact study data from the developments as a basis for the projected traffic analysis. In the event that no data is currently available, RBA proposes to use the generally accepted standard Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation manual factors to address future demand. Phase 3 – Also as outlined in the original scope of work RBA shall develop a "working" model that will allow for the addition of future developments and changes to zoning or infrastructure as predicated by the Master

RBA Group of Connecticut, LLC

Page 2- September 19, 2013

Plan process. This "working" model will allow Planning and Zoning to changes to traffic and parking scenarios and those associated impacts that these action may effect.

Additional Understandings:

RBA recognizes that as part of Connecticut State Statue an updated Town POCD will be required in 2017 and as such this master document shall be formatted to enable it to become an integrated piece of that future document centering on Downtown Westport.

RBA additionally recognizes the importance that must be placed upon creating useable design guidelines that will help shape infrastructure and streetscape standards for the Town. As part of the overall Master Plan process plan we shall prioritize the development of these standards for public review and incorporate them into conceptual designs for

- · Elm @ Church Street intersection and
- Sidewalk improvements that were identified as part of the "Main Street Investment Grant"

The development of the design guidelines and conceptual plans for Elm @ Main is included as work under the original scope of services for the project. It should be noted that any final design services for these tasks including the development of Final Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) documents suitable for bidding would be considered as additional services and funding for this work is not included. It is our understanding that the funding for these design services will be provided by source funds available to Downtown 2020.

We proposed the revised fees for services to include the development of the Westport Downtown Master Plan and the extra baselines traffic work as follows:

Revised Fee for Services

Original Project Fee	
Revised Project Fee	\$204,000

We trust this will meet with the approval of your office and the Planning and Zoning Commission as well as Downtown 2020. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to ask.

Sincerely,

David Lapping, PTP, Senior Vice President

Recommended by:

Lou Gagliano, Chairman, Downtown 2020 Committee

Catherine Walsh, Chair, Planning and Zoning Commission

Gordon Joseloff, First Selectman, Town of Westport

N:\Marketing\CURRENT PROPOSALS\- PROPOSALS 2013\2013-074 13115 Westport Downtown Master Plan\13115 Waestport Downtown Master Plan Scope Revision.docx

